

An affirmative answer to a conjecture for Metoki class

To the memory of Professor Shoshichi Kobayashi at UC Berkeley

Kentaro MIKAMI*

July 18, 2014

Abstract

In [6], Kotschick and Morita showed that the Gel'fand-Kalinin-Fuks class in $H_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_8$ is decomposed as a product $\eta \wedge \omega$ of some leaf cohomology class η and a transverse symplectic class ω . We show that the same formula holds for Metoki class, which is a non-trivial element in $H_{\text{GF}}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$. The result was a conjecture stated in [6], where they studied characteristic classes of symplectic foliations due to Kontsevich. Our proof depends on Gröbner Basis theory using computer calculations.

1 Introduction

This article is a superset of the author's preprint ([8]) with the same title, which has been submitted to some Journal. As seen below, in order to give an affirmative proof for D. Kotschick and S. Morita's conjecture, we have had to handle the enormous data of the concrete bases of the cochain complexes, matrix representations of coboundary operators, and proceed with many symbol calculus calculations by computer. These data are available at the author's private URL www.math.akita-u.ac.jp/~mikami/Conj4MetokiClass/. The Journal requires that those data should be stored in a "perpetual" place. Thus, the author appended these data to the original paper as appendices, then the page number of the enlarged paper reached 1167. By much help of arxiv.org, the author stored the data files of plain text format to the subdirectory `anc/` as ancillary files, and is trying to upload the package to arxiv.org.

Let $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ be the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields of a smooth manifold M . $H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}(M))$ is the Lie algebra cohomology groups, where the subscript c means that each cochain is required to be *continuous*. The cohomology group $H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{X}(M))$ is often written as $H_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(M)$ and is called the Gel'fand-Fuks cohomology group of M . It is known that if M is of finite-type (i.e., M has a finite cover), then $H_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(M)$ is finite dimensional.

Let $\mathfrak{a}_n$ denote the Lie algebra of formal vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is given by $\mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]\langle \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n \rangle$. Thus an element of $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is a vector field with coefficients of formal power series in coordinate functions. Then $H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{a}_n) \cong H_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds and so $\dim H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{a}_n) < \infty$.

Let $\mathfrak{v}_n$ be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{a}_n$, consisting of the volume preserving formal vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}$ a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{a}_{2n}$, consisting of formal Hamiltonian vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then, the next question is still open: Is $\dim H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{v}_n)$ infinite or is $\dim H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n})$ infinite?

There is a notion of *weight* for cochains of $\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}$. Since the weight is preserved by the coboundary operator, there is the cohomology subgroup corresponding to each weight (cf. §2.1(I-

*Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) of JSPS, No.26400063, No.23540067 and No.20540059

3)). In [4], (1) For the weight $w \leq 0$, the structure of $H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w$ is completely determined, and

(2) When $n = 1$ and $w > 0$, the next holds true:

$$H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_w = 0 \quad (w = 1, 2, \dots, 7)$$

$$H_c^m(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_8 = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & m = 7 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The generator of $H_c^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_8$ is called the Gel'fand-Kalinin-Fuks class. Hereafter, we use the notation $H_{GF}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_w$ instead of $H_c^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_w$.

There is a homomorphism from $H_{GF}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ into $H^\bullet(B\Gamma_2^{symp})$, where Γ_2^{symp} is the groupoid of germs of local diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^2$ preserving the symplectic structure of $\mathbb{R}^2$. It is still not known if the image of the Gel'fand-Kalinin-Fuks class by the homomorphism is trivial in $H^7(B\Gamma_2^{symp})$ or not (cf.[2]).

The next non-trivial result in succession to the Gel'fand-Kalinin-Fuks class is $H_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14} \cong \mathbb{R}$, which was shown by S. Metoki ([7]) in 1999. He is interested in the volume preserving formal vector fields, when $n = 1$ both $\mathfrak{ham}_2$ and $\mathfrak{v}_2$ are the same.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on a manifold M . We have the foliated cohomology defined by $H_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(M, \mathbb{R}) := H^\bullet(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}})$ where $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = \Omega(M)/I(\mathcal{F})$, $\Omega(M)$ is the exterior algebra of differential forms on M , and $I(\mathcal{F})$ is the ideal generated by $\{\sigma \in \Omega^1(M) \mid \langle \sigma, T\mathcal{F} \rangle = 0\}$.

M. Kontsevich ([5]) showed that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a codimension $2n$ foliation endowed with a symplectic form ω in the transverse direction, then there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\omega^n \wedge} & H_{DR}^{\bullet+2n}(M, \mathbb{R}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_{GF}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) & \xrightarrow{\omega^n \wedge} & H_{GF}^{\bullet+2n}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \end{array}$$

where $\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0$ is the Lie subalgebra of the Hamiltonian vector fields of the formal polynomial vanishing at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

D. Kotschick and S. Morita ([6]) determined the space $H_{GF}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_w$ for $w \leq 10$, and concerning Kontsevich homomorphism in the case of $n = 1$, they showed the following, as well as the non-triviality of Kontsevich homomorphism:

Theorem 1.1 ([6]). There is a unique element $\eta \in H_{GF}^5(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{10} \cong \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\text{Gel'fand-Kalinin-Fuks class} = \eta \wedge \omega \in H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_8$$

where ω is the cochain associated with the linear symplectic form of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Further they stated that it is highly likely that the same thing is true also for Metoki class $\in H_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$. That is, there should exist an element $\eta' \in H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ such that

$$\text{Metoki class} = \eta' \wedge \omega \in H_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}.$$

In the same line of D. Kotschick and S. Morita ([6]), we determined $H_{GF}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_w$ for $w \leq 20$ in [13]. In this paper, making use of information in [13], we will show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. $H_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$ and $H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ are both 1-dimensional and the

map of wedging symplectic cocycle

$$\omega \wedge : H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} \longrightarrow H_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$$

is an isomorphism.

$$\omega \wedge : H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} \longrightarrow H_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, there is a unique element $\eta' \in H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} \cong \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\text{Metoki class} = \eta' \wedge \omega \in H_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$$

where Metoki class is the generator of $H_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$.

2 Preliminaries

Generalities concerning the (relative) Gel'fand-Fuks cohomologies and symplectic formalism are found in Mikami-Nakae-Kodama's preprint ([13]). Here we review the concept of cochain complex of our Lie algebras and the symplectic action on relative complex and also the description of coboundary operators for further calculations. Although the space we are concerned with in this paper is $\mathbb{R}^2$, we review the notions on the general linear symplectic space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, and fix notations we use hereafter.

2.1 Symplectic space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$

We fix a linear symplectic manifold $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ with the standard variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_f$ denote the Hamiltonian vector field of f . Recalling the formula $[\mathcal{H}_f, \mathcal{H}_g] = -\mathcal{H}_{\{f, g\}}$ for Hamiltonian vector fields, we identify each formal Hamiltonian vector field with its potential polynomial function up to the constant term and the Lie bracket of vector fields with the Poisson bracket on polynomial functions, where we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_p$ the dual space of homogeneous polynomials of degree p . Then

$$\mathfrak{ham}_{2n} = \left(\bigoplus_{p=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}_p^* \right)^{\wedge} \quad \text{is a Lie algebra}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0 = \left(\bigoplus_{p=2}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}_p^* \right)^{\wedge} \quad \text{is a subalgebra of } \mathfrak{ham}_{2n},$$

where $(\quad)^{\wedge}$ means the completion using the Krull topology.

Using the above notation we have the following:

(I-1) m -th cochain complexes of $\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0$ are given by

$$C_{GF}^m(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}) = \bigoplus_{k_1+k_2+\dots=m} \Lambda^{k_1} \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \Lambda^{k_2} \mathfrak{S}_2 \otimes \Lambda^{k_3} \mathfrak{S}_3 \otimes \dots$$

and $\mathfrak{S}_1$ is the dual space of constant vector fields

$$C_{GF}^m(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0) = \bigoplus_{k_2+k_3+\dots=m} \Lambda^{k_2} \mathfrak{S}_2 \otimes \Lambda^{k_3} \mathfrak{S}_3 \otimes \Lambda^{k_4} \mathfrak{S}_4 \otimes \dots$$

(I-2) The coboundary operator d on $C_{GF}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n})$ is defined by

$$(d\sigma)(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m) = \sum_{k < \ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} \sigma(\{f_k, f_\ell\}, \dots, \widehat{f_k}, \dots, \widehat{f_\ell}, \dots) \quad f_i \in \mathfrak{ham}_{2n}$$

for each m -cochain $\sigma \in C_{\text{GF}}^m(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n})$.

And the coboundary operator d_0 on $C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0)$ is defined by

$$(d_0\sigma)(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m) = \sum_{k < \ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} \sigma(\{f_k, f_\ell\}, \dots, \widehat{f_k}, \dots, \widehat{f_\ell}, \dots) \quad f_i \in \mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0$$

for each m -cochain $\sigma \in C_{\text{GF}}^m(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0)$.

We will study the difference between two coboundary operators d and d_0 in subsection §2.3.

(I-3) There is a notion of **weight** for cochains (cf.[6]). For each non-zero cochain

$$\sigma \in \Lambda^{k_1} \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \Lambda^{k_2} \mathfrak{S}_2 \otimes \Lambda^{k_3} \mathfrak{S}_3 \otimes \dots \otimes \Lambda^{k_\ell} \mathfrak{S}_\ell$$

its weight is given by

$$(1-2)k_1 + (2-2)k_2 + (3-2)k_3 + \dots + (\ell-2)k_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (i-2)k_i .$$

The weight of a cochain is preserved by the coboundary operator, and we can decompose each cochain complex by way of weights and get Gel'fand-Fuks cohomologies with a discrete parameter, namely with *weight* w like as

$$C_{\text{GF}}^m(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^{j-1})_w \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\text{GF}}^m(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^{j-1})_w \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1$$

where $\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^{-1}$ means the space $\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}$.

In both cases, for given degree m and weight w , we consider the sequences $(k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots)$ of nonnegative integers with

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k_j = m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j-2)k_j = w . \quad (1)$$

Readers may be anxious about the contribution of k_2 or k_1 . In fact, there is a dimensional restriction for each k_j with $0 \leq k_j \leq \dim \mathfrak{S}_j = \frac{(j+2n-1)!}{j!(2n-1)!}$.

From those two relations in (1), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k_j = m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jk_j = w + 2m . \quad (2)$$

This means our sequences correspond to all partitions of $w+2m$ of length m , or in other words, to the Young diagrams with $w+2m$ cells of length m (cf.[13]). Furthermore, we require dimensional restrictions, and $k_1 = 0$ when $\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0$.

2.2 Symplectic action and the relative cohomologies

We denote the natural action of the Lie group $K = Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by φ_a for $a \in K$, i.e., $\varphi_a(\mathbf{x}) = a\mathbf{x}$ as the multiplication of matrices. The action leaves ω invariant by definition, and we see that $(\varphi_a)_*(\mathcal{H}_f) = \mathcal{H}_{f \circ \varphi_{a^{-1}}}$ for each function f on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $a \in K$. Let $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be the Lie algebra of K . We denote the fundamental vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of K by $\xi_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$. The equivariant (co-)momentum mapping of symplectic action of K is given by

$$\hat{J}(\xi)\mathbf{x} = -\frac{1}{2} {}^t\mathbf{x} \begin{bmatrix} \{x_1, x_1\} & \dots & \{x_1, x_{2n}\} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \{x_{2n}, x_1\} & \dots & \{x_{2n}, x_{2n}\} \end{bmatrix} \xi \mathbf{x}$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ is the natural coordinate of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as column vector, ${}^t\mathbf{x}$ means the transposed row vector of $\mathbf{x}$, $\{x_i, x_j\}$ is the Poisson bracket of i -th and j -th components of $\mathbf{x}$ with respect to ω , and $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$. $\hat{J}$ is a Lie algebra monomorphism from the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ into the Lie algebra $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with the Poisson bracket. We stress that $\hat{J}(\xi)$ is a degree 2 homogeneous polynomial function on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ for $\xi \neq 0$. The Hamilton potential of the bracket $[\xi_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}, \mathcal{H}_f]$ is given by $-\{\hat{J}(\xi), f\}$, because of $[\xi_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}, \mathcal{H}_f] = [\mathcal{H}_{\hat{J}(\xi)}, \mathcal{H}_f] = -\mathcal{H}_{\{\hat{J}(\xi), f\}}$. This means that $\mathfrak{k}$ is regarded as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{ham}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0$ through the equivariant momentum mapping J .

Define the relative cochain group $C^m(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ by

$$C^m(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = \{\sigma \in C^m(\mathfrak{g}) \mid i_X \sigma = 0, i_X d\sigma = 0 \quad (\forall X \in \mathfrak{k})\} \quad (m = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Then $(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}), d)$ becomes a cochain complex, and we get the relative cohomology groups $H^m(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$. Let K be a Lie group of $\mathfrak{k}$. Then we also define

$$C^m(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \{\sigma \in C^m(\mathfrak{g}) \mid i_X \sigma = 0 \quad (\forall X \in \mathfrak{k}), Ad_k^* \sigma = \sigma \quad (\forall k \in K)\}$$

and we get the relative cohomology groups $H^m(\mathfrak{g}, K)$. If K is connected, these two cochain groups are identical. If K is a closed subgroup of G , then it can be seen $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \Lambda^\bullet(G/K)^G$ (the exterior algebra of G -invariant differential forms on G/K).

Since the space of degree 2 homogeneous polynomials, $\mathfrak{S}_2^*$ is spanned by the image of momentum mapping $\hat{J}$ of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$, we see that

Proposition 2.1 ([13]). For each cochain σ , $i_\xi \sigma = 0$ ($\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$) implies $k_2 = 0$, and the other condition $i_\xi d\sigma = 0$ is equivalent to $L_\xi \sigma = 0$ ($\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$). Thus we see for $j = 0, 1$

$$C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^{j-1}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w = \sum_{\text{Cond}_j} (\Lambda^{k_1} \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \Lambda^{k_2} \mathfrak{S}_2 \otimes \Lambda^{k_3} \mathfrak{S}_3 \otimes \dots)^{triv}$$

where $(\quad)^{triv}$ means the direct sum of the (underlying) subspaces of the trivial representations. Cond_0 consists of the conditions (2) in the preceding subsection, $k_2 = 0$, and the dimensional restrictions. Cond_1 consists of Cond_0 and $k_1 = 0$.

As already explained in [6], if the weight w is odd $H_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^{j-1}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w = 0$ for $j = 0, 1$. Thus, we have only to deal with even weights.

Remark 2.1. There is a notion of **type** N for cochains in [7]. The weight w and type N are related by $w = 2N$.

There is a general method to decompose $\Lambda^p \mathfrak{S}_q$ into the irreducible subspaces for a given $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ -representation, by getting the maximal vectors which are invariant by the maximal unipotent subgroup of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

Concerning the decomposition of the tensor product, we have the Clebsch-Gordan rule when $n = 1$. (For $n = 2$, Littlewood-Richardson rule is used in [12], and the crystal base theory is used in [10] when $n = 3$.)

2.3 Coboundary operators

We want to distinguish the coboundary operators where they act. By d , we mean the coboundary operator which acts on $C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w$ and by d_0 , the one on $C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w$.

Let ω be the 2-cochain defined by the linear symplectic form of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we see that

$$\omega \in C_{\text{GF}}^2(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{(-2)} \setminus C_{\text{GF}}^2(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{(-2)}$$

and $\omega^n \in C_{\text{GF}}^{2n}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{(-2n)}$.

Proposition 2.2. *The linear map*

$$\omega^n \wedge : C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w \longrightarrow C_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet+2n}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{w-2n}$$

satisfies

$$d(\omega^n \wedge \sigma) = \omega^n \wedge d_0(\sigma)$$

and so the next diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet-1+2n}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{w-2n} & \xrightarrow{d} & C_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet+2n}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{w-2n} \\ \omega^n \wedge \uparrow & & \uparrow \omega^n \wedge \\ C_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet-1}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w & \xrightarrow{d_0} & C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Thus we have a linear map

$$\omega^n \wedge : H_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w \longrightarrow H_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet+2n}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{w-2n}$$

naturally. This induced map is trivial if and only if

$$\omega^n \wedge \ker(d_0 \text{ on } C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_w) \subset d(C_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet-1+2n}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{w-2n}) \quad (4)$$

Proof: We have $d(\omega) = 0$. This is a requirement for a symplectic form. For each $\sigma \in C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0)$, we already know that $\omega^n \wedge (d(\sigma) - d_0(\sigma)) = 0$ and now we see that $\omega^n \wedge d(\sigma) = d(\omega^n \wedge \sigma)$ because of $d\omega = 0$. The above states that only the diagram (3) is commutative. Then we have

(i) If $d_0(\sigma) = 0$, then $d(\omega^n \wedge \sigma) = 0$, namely, $\omega^n \wedge \ker(d_0) \subset \ker(d)$.

(ii) If $\sigma, \tau \in C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ satisfy $d_0(\sigma) = 0 = d_0(\tau)$ and $\sigma - \tau = d_0(\rho)$, then $\omega^n \wedge \sigma - \omega^n \wedge \tau = \omega^n \wedge d_0(\rho) = d(\omega^n \wedge \rho)$. This means that the wedge product by ω^n induces a well-defined linear map

$$\omega^n : H_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow H_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet+2n}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \quad \text{by } \sigma \mapsto \omega^n \wedge \sigma.$$

(iii) From (i), we see that the map is trivial if and only if $\omega^n \wedge \ker(d_0) \subset d(C_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet+2n-1}(\mathfrak{ham}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))_{w-2n})$. ■

3 Symplectic 2-plane

In this section, we deal with the symplectic 2-plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. We study the difference between two coboundary operators d and d_0 . Since $\dim \mathfrak{S}_1 = 2$, the domain of definition of d is given by

$$C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2) = C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0) \oplus (\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes C_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet-1}(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0)) \oplus (\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes C_{\text{GF}}^{\bullet-2}(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0)).$$

Let x, y be a global Darboux coordinate satisfying $\{x, y\} = 1$. We denote by z_R^r , the dual element of the polynomial $\widehat{z}_R^r = \frac{x^r}{r!} \frac{y^{R-r}}{(R-r)!}$ where $R > 0$ and $0 \leq r \leq R$.

The two coboundary operators d, d_0 in those bases, are

$$dz_R^r = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{A+B=2+R} \langle z_R^r, \{\widehat{z}_A^a, \widehat{z}_B^b\} \rangle z_A^a \wedge z_B^b$$

where $A > 0, B > 0, 0 \leq a \leq A, 0 \leq b \leq B$.

$$d_0 z_R^r = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{A+B=2+R} \langle z_R^r, \{\widehat{z}_A^a, \widehat{z}_B^b\} \rangle z_A^a \wedge z_B^b$$

where $A > 1, B > 1, 0 \leq a \leq A, 0 \leq b \leq B$. Thus, the difference between d and d_0 for a 1-cochain can be written as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} dz_R^r &= d_0 z_R^r - \sum_{0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq b \leq 1+R} \langle z_R^r, \{\widehat{z}_1^a, \widehat{z}_{1+R}^b\} \rangle z_1^a \wedge z_{1+R}^b \\ &= d_0 z_R^r + z_1^0 \wedge z_{1+R}^{1+r} - z_1^1 \wedge z_{1+R}^r = d_0 z_R^r + \begin{vmatrix} z_1^0 & z_{1+R}^r \\ z_1^1 & z_{1+R}^{1+r} \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $\begin{vmatrix} z_1^0 & z_{1+R}^r \\ z_1^1 & z_{1+R}^{1+r} \end{vmatrix}$ is the determinant of the (2,2)-matrix whose multiplication is the wedge product.

We may assume that $d_0 z_1^r = 0$. The 2-cochain ω which comes from the symplectic structure, is written as $\omega = z_1^0 \wedge z_1^1$ in our notation and we see directly that

$$d\omega = d(z_1^0 \wedge z_1^1) = \begin{vmatrix} z_1^0 & z_2^0 \\ z_1^1 & z_2^1 \end{vmatrix} \wedge z_1^1 - z_1^0 \wedge \begin{vmatrix} z_1^0 & z_2^1 \\ z_1^1 & z_2^2 \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} \omega & z_2^0 \\ 0 & z_2^1 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & z_2^1 \\ \omega & z_2^2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

But, ω is not d -exact because $\{\widehat{z}_1^a, \widehat{z}_1^b\} = \text{constant}$.

4 Proof of Theorem

In this section, we give a proof for Theorem 1.2 which asserts that

$$\omega \wedge : H_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} \rightarrow H_{\text{GF}}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$$

is an isomorphism. Since we know that the source and the target spaces are both 1-dimensional, it is enough to show the map $\omega \wedge$ is non-trivial. For that purpose, we make use of (4) of Proposition 2.2.

We have information about $C_{\text{GF}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_w$ ($w = 12, 14, 16, 18, 20$) (cf. [13]). We show the result of weight =16 in the table below. In the table C^k is $C_{\text{GF}}^k(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$, $\dim C^k$, and rank is the rank of $d_0 : C^k \rightarrow C^{1+k}$.

$\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, w=16$	0	$\rightarrow C^3$	$\rightarrow C^4$	$\rightarrow C^5$	$\rightarrow C^6$	$\rightarrow C^7$	$\rightarrow C^8$	0
dim		12	61	126	147	95	24	
rank		0	12	49	77	70	24	0
Betti num		0	0	0	0	1	0	

The table above says that $\dim H_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} = 1$. The bases of $C_{\text{GF}}^m(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ ($m = 6, 7, 8$) are found either in Appendix 1, 2 and 3 or on [9].

Concerning $H_{\text{GF}}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$, we refer to [7], where we see the complete data. But, the notation there is different from ours, and it seems hard to find an applicable translation rule. So we need to get suitable bases for our notation and begin searching bases without the $k_1 = 0$ condition at the beginning and we get the complete bases. In the following discussion, we only need information about the bases of $\mathfrak{C}^8$, $\mathfrak{C}^9$ and the matrix representation $\overline{M}$ of $d : \mathfrak{C}^8 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^9$, where $\mathfrak{C}^k = C_{\text{GF}}^k(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$. A similar table is obtained in the case of $\mathfrak{ham}_2$ and weight 14, rank is the rank of $d : \mathfrak{C}^k \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{1+k}$.

$\mathfrak{ham}_2$, wt=14	$\rightarrow$	$\mathfrak{C}^8$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathfrak{C}^9$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathfrak{C}^{10}$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{0}$
dim		232		113		25		
rank		145		87		25		0
Betti num		0		1		0		

3 steps of our proof of Theorem are as follows:

1. To find a vector $\mathbf{h} \in \ker(d_0 : C_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} \rightarrow C_{\text{GF}}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16})$ but $\mathbf{h} \notin d_0(C_{\text{GF}}^6(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16})$.
2. To calculate $\omega \wedge \mathbf{h}$.
3. To check whether $\omega \wedge \mathbf{h} \in d(C_{\text{GF}}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14})$ or not.

4.1 Gröbner Basis theory for cohomology groups

To complete the proof in the direction, we make use of the Gröbner Basis theory (cf. [3]) for linear homogeneous polynomials.

Suppose we have indeterminate variables (y_j) and fix a monomial order, say $y_1 \succ \cdots \succ y_\mu$. If g_i are linear homogeneous polynomials of (y_j) , then we may write $[g_1, \dots, g_\lambda] = [y_1, \dots, y_\mu]M$ for some matrix M . It is well-known that we can deform M into the unique elementary matrix $\widehat{M}$ (sometimes called the stairs matrix in the strict sense or row echelon matrix) by a sequence of the three kinds elementary row operations. It is known as the Gaussian elimination method. The monic Gröbner basis of $(g_1, \dots, g_\lambda)$, we denote as $\text{mBasis}([g_1, \dots, g_\lambda], \text{Ord}_y)$, satisfies

$$[\text{mBasis}([g_1, \dots, g_\lambda], \text{Ord}_y), 0, \dots, 0] = [y_1, \dots, y_\mu] \widehat{M}.$$

Thus, $\text{rank} M = \text{rank} \widehat{M}$ is equal to the cardinality of $\text{mBasis}([g_1, \dots, g_\lambda], \text{Ord}_y)$ and $\text{mBasis}([g_1, \dots, g_\lambda], \text{Ord}_y)$ gives a basis for the $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space generated by $g_1, \dots, g_\lambda$. Hereafter, we use a reduced Gröbner basis, we denote it by $\text{Basis}([g_1, \dots, g_\lambda], \text{Ord}_y)$, for which we allow that each leading coefficient should not be 1. So, each j -th element of $\text{Basis}([g_1, \dots, g_\lambda], \text{Ord}_y)$ is a non-zero scalar multiple of j -th element of $\text{mBasis}([g_1, \dots, g_\lambda], \text{Ord}_y)$.

The normal form of a given polynomial h with respect to a Gröbner basis GB together with a fixed monomial order, for example $\text{NF}(h, \text{GB}, \text{Ord}_y)$, is the “smallest” remainder of h modulo by the Gröbner basis GB. Again, if we restrict our discussion to the linear homogeneous polynomials, then $\text{NF}(h, \text{GB}, \text{Ord}_y) = 0$ is equivalent to $h \in$ the linear space spanned by GB.

We recall a key technique involving the Gröbner Basis theory into cohomology group theory. Let X , Y and Z be finite dimensional vector spaces with bases $\{\mathbf{q}_i\}_{i=1}^\lambda$, $\{\mathbf{w}_j\}_{j=1}^\mu$ and $\{\mathbf{r}_k\}_{k=1}^\nu$ respectively. Assume that there are linear maps $g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ whose matrix representations are M and N respectively: i.e.,

$$[g(\mathbf{q}_1), g(\mathbf{q}_2), \dots, g(\mathbf{q}_\lambda)] = [\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_\mu] M \quad (5)$$

and

$$[f(\mathbf{w}_1), f(\mathbf{w}_2), \dots, f(\mathbf{w}_\mu)] = [\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_\nu] N \quad (6)$$

In the right-hand side of (5), we replace $\mathbf{w}_j$ by indeterminate variable y_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$), and get a set of linear homogeneous polynomials $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\mu]M$. Denote them by $[g_1(y), g_2(y), \dots, g_\lambda(y)]$, i.e., $[g_1(y), g_2(y), \dots, g_\lambda(y)] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\mu]M$.

Proposition 4.1 ([1]). $GB_e = \text{Basis}([g_1, g_2, \dots, g_\lambda], [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\mu], \text{Ord}_y)$ gives a basis of $g(X)$ in the sense that $\{\varphi(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_\mu) \mid \varphi \in GB_e\}$ forms a basis of $g(X)$ and $\text{rank}(g) = \#(GB_e)$.

We study $f^{-1}(0) = \ker(f : Y \rightarrow Z)$. Since $\langle f(\mathbf{u}), \sigma \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, f^*(\sigma) \rangle$ for $\mathbf{u} \in Y, \sigma \in Z^*$ (= the dual space of Z), $f^{-1}(0) = \text{Im}(f^*)^0$, the annihilator subspace of $\text{Im}(f^*)$. By Proposition 4.1, we know well about $\text{Im}(f^*)$ by the Gröbner Basis theory as follows: Since N is the matrix representation of f , ${}^tN$ is a matrix representation of f^* . We put $[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\mu]({}^tN)$ by $[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_\nu]$. Fix the monomial order Ord_c of (c_j) by $c_1 \succ \dots \succ c_\mu$. We get the Gröbner basis $GB_{tr(f)} = \text{Basis}([f_1, f_2, \dots, f_\nu], \text{Ord}_c)$, which gives a basis of $\text{Im}(f^*)$.

Consider the polynomial $h = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} c_j y_j$, where $\{y_1, \dots, y_\mu\}$ are the other auxiliary variables (which appear for the linear map g).

Proposition 4.2 ([1]). The normal form $\text{NF}(h, GB_{tr(f)}, \text{Ord}_c)$ of h is written as $\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} c_j \tilde{f}_j(y)$ where $\tilde{f}_j(y)$ is linear in $\{y_1, \dots, y_\mu\}$.

Let $GB_k = \text{Basis}([\tilde{f}_1(y), \tilde{f}_2(y), \dots, \tilde{f}_\mu(y)], \text{Ord}_y)$. Then GB_k gives a basis of the kernel space $f^{-1}(0) = \ker(f)$, and the cardinality of GB_k is $\dim \ker(f)$.

Now assume that $f \circ g = 0$. We use the Gröbner bases GB_e of g , and GB_k of $\ker(f)$ above, then we have the following.

Proposition 4.3 ([1]). The quotient space $\ker(f : Y \rightarrow Z)/\text{Im}(g : X \rightarrow Y)$ is equipped with the basis

$$GB_{k/e} = \text{Basis}([\text{NF}(\varphi, GB_e, \text{Ord}_y) \mid \varphi \in GB_k], \text{Ord}_y)$$

In particular, $\dim(\ker(f : Y \rightarrow Z)/\text{Im}(g : X \rightarrow Y)) = \#(GB_{k/e})$.

Remark 4.1. In the way described above consisting of three steps, there is some ambiguity in choosing an element $\mathbf{h}$. But, if we use the Gröbner Basis theory, we can avoid this ambiguity. This is a main reason why we use the Gröbner Basis theory here. It is hard to handle big matrices, but it is easy to deal with polynomials. This is the second small reason.

The last reason we use the Gröbner Basis theory is that Gröbner Basis packages in such symbol calculus softwares as Maple, Mathematica, Risa/Asir (this is freeware) and so on, become more and more reliable and faster.

Our calculation of Gröbner Bases or normal forms is assisted by symbol calculus software Maple. The author also has a proof by the Gröbner Basis theory to Theorem 1.1 with the assistance of Maple in [11]. It will be available for reading drafts of it on [9] "A proof to Kotschick-Morita Theorem for G-K-F class".

Risa/Asir is popular among Japanese mathematicians because it is bundled in the Math Libre Disk which is distributed at annual meetings of the Mathematical Society of Japan. We put the source code and output of our computer argument for Risa/Asir on [9] or Appendices in [11]. Here, proof to the Kotschick-Morita theorem by Risa/Asir can be seen. You can also compare the two kinds of results calculated by Maple and Risa/Asir, and see that the final normal forms are the same, up to non-zero scalar multiples.

Even in the classical linear algebra argument or the Gröbner Basis argument, our discussion is based on matrix representations of the two coboundary operators. We stress that everything starts from the concrete bases of cochain complexes.

4.2 Selecting a generator h of $H_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$

As mentioned in Remark 4.1, the existence of concrete bases of our cochain complexes is important. Actually, we got them and can handle them, but as shown in the table above, the dimensions are large; for example $\dim C^6 = 147$, $\dim C^7 = 95$ and $\dim C^8 = 24$, where $C^k = C_{\text{GF}}^k(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$. Here we only show several elements, whose number of terms of summation is smaller. The entire data of our concrete bases is found either in Appendix 1, 2 and 3 or on [9]. The smallest element of our basis of C^6 is next, and consists of 28 terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_{142} = & -\frac{8}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_5^2z_7^6 - z_4^0z_4^1z_4^3z_4^4z_5^1z_7^5 + \frac{1}{6}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^4z_5^1z_7^6 - \frac{1}{6}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^4z_5^0z_7^7 \\ & + \frac{2}{3}z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^0z_7^0 - \frac{1}{2}z_4^0z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^0z_7^5 - \frac{8}{3}z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^1z_7^3 + z_4^0z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^3z_7^2 \\ & - \frac{7}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^4z_5^3z_7^4 + \frac{1}{6}z_4^0z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^1z_7^1 + \frac{1}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^3z_4^4z_5^0z_7^6 + \frac{2}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_5^1z_7^7 \\ & + \frac{2}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^3z_4^4z_5^3z_7^3 - \frac{8}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_5^4z_7^4 - \frac{8}{3}z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^3z_7^1 - \frac{7}{3}z_4^0z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^2z_7^3 \\ & + \frac{11}{6}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^4z_5^4z_7^3 + \frac{2}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_5^5z_7^3 + z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^4z_5^2z_7^5 + \frac{1}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^3z_4^4z_5^5z_7^1 \\ & + \frac{11}{6}z_4^0z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^1z_7^4 - \frac{1}{2}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^4z_5^5z_7^2 + \frac{2}{3}z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^0z_7^4 + 4z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^2z_7^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{6}z_4^0z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^5z_7^0 + 4z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_5^5z_7^5 - z_4^0z_4^1z_4^3z_4^4z_5^2z_7^2 + \frac{2}{3}z_4^0z_4^1z_4^3z_4^4z_5^2z_7^4 \end{aligned}$$

where we omit the symbol $\wedge$ of wedge product. The two small-size elements of our basis of C^7 are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_6 = & z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_6^0z_6^3z_6^6 - 3z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_6^0z_6^4z_6^5 - 3z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_6^1z_6^2z_6^6 \\ & + 6z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_6^1z_6^3z_6^5 - 15z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_6^2z_6^3z_6^4 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{w}_{95} = z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^0z_5^5 - 5z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^1z_5^4 + 10z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^2z_5^3.$$

We pick up the smallest element of our basis of C^8 :

$$\mathbf{r}_7 = z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_5^0z_5^4z_5^5 - 2z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_5^0z_5^2z_5^3z_5^5 + 10z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_5^1z_5^2z_5^3z_5^4.$$

We have the matrix representations M of $d_0 : C^6 \rightarrow C^7$ and N of $d_0 : C^7 \rightarrow C^8$ with respect to the above bases. Since the size of matrix M is (95, 147) and that of N is (24, 95), we will not show them here. We only need a generator of $H_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ by the Gröbner Basis theory where we write down our linear functions $\{g_i\}$ corresponding to $d_0 : C^6 \rightarrow C^7$ and linear functions $\{f_j\}$, giving the kernel condition for $d_0 : C^7 \rightarrow C^8$ as follows.

$$[g_1, \dots, g_{147}] = [y_1, \dots, y_{95}]M, \quad [f_1, \dots, f_{24}] = [c_1, \dots, c_{95}]^t N$$

where the precise complete data are found either in Appendix 4 and 5 or on [9]. Here, we show a few terms as examples:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = & 176y_1 - \frac{1036}{3}y_8 + \frac{632}{3}y_9 + \frac{544}{3}y_{10} - 60y_{11} - 22y_{12} + 152y_{13} - 802y_{21} \\ & + 531y_{22} + 590y_{23} - \frac{1625}{3}y_{24} + \frac{292}{3}y_{25} + 60y_{26} - \frac{1595}{3}y_{27} + 805y_{28} \\ & - 90y_{29} + 48y_{30} - 108y_{31} - 144y_{32} - 306y_{33} + 144y_{34} + 450y_{35} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 36y_{36} + 168y_{37} \\
& \vdots \\
g_{147} = & \frac{5}{2}y_{60} - \frac{7}{2}y_{61} + \frac{11}{10}y_{62} + \frac{11}{6}y_{63} - \frac{21}{2}y_{65} + \frac{33}{10}y_{66} + \frac{15}{2}y_{67} - \frac{1}{10}y_{68} \\
& + \frac{11}{2}y_{69} - 3y_{79} - \frac{1}{2}y_{80} + \frac{3}{2}y_{86} + \frac{95}{12}y_{87} - \frac{17}{6}y_{88} + 2y_{89} - \frac{209}{30}y_{90} \\
& + \frac{23}{10}y_{91} + \frac{6}{25}y_{92} + \frac{5}{2}y_{93} - \frac{35}{2}y_{94} - \frac{133}{30}y_{95}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 = & -\frac{55}{4}c_1 + 25c_3 + 8c_5 - \frac{475}{54}c_8 + \frac{145}{9}c_9 - \frac{995}{54}c_{10} + \frac{70}{3}c_{11} + \frac{1700}{81}c_{12} \\
& - \frac{10}{81}c_{13} - \frac{41}{9}c_{14} - \frac{215}{18}c_{15} - \frac{425}{18}c_{16} + \frac{425}{36}c_{17} + \frac{35}{9}c_{18} - \frac{59}{9}c_{19} + \frac{92}{9}c_{20} \\
& + \frac{75}{32}c_{21} + \frac{85}{48}c_{22} + \frac{33}{16}c_{23} + \frac{139}{64}c_{24} + \frac{65}{64}c_{25} + \frac{75}{32}c_{26} - \frac{221}{64}c_{27} + \frac{1}{24}c_{28} \\
& - \frac{65}{12}c_{42} + \frac{35}{4}c_{43} + \frac{95}{6}c_{44} + \frac{53}{4}c_{45} + \frac{10}{3}c_{46} + \frac{13}{4}c_{47} + 2c_{48} - \frac{9}{2}c_{49} - \frac{3}{2}c_{50} \\
& \vdots \\
f_{24} = & -15c_{41} - 10c_{42} + 30c_{43} + 35c_{44} + 3c_{45} + 40c_{46} + 18c_{47} - 3c_{48} \\
& - \frac{301839}{740}c_{59} + \frac{256839}{740}c_{60} + \frac{1094769}{740}c_{61} + \frac{73128}{37}c_{62} + \frac{174258}{185}c_{63} \\
& + \frac{848394}{185}c_{64} - \frac{435089}{740}c_{65} - \frac{105235}{111}c_{66} - \frac{9623}{148}c_{67} - \frac{28657}{37}c_{68} \\
& - \frac{70569}{185}c_{69} - \frac{150326}{111}c_{70} + \frac{35965}{111}c_{71} + \frac{4484}{185}c_{72} - \frac{3827}{37}c_{73} - \frac{52105}{74}c_{74} \\
& + \frac{68225}{148}c_{75} - \frac{2556}{185}c_{76} + \frac{31601}{370}c_{77} + \frac{37535}{148}c_{78} - \frac{7439}{185}c_{79} + \frac{15139}{37}c_{80} \\
& + \frac{10657}{148}c_{81} + \frac{56}{3}c_{86} + \frac{53}{3}c_{87} + \frac{193}{3}c_{88} + \frac{76}{3}c_{89} + 7c_{90} + 4c_{91} + \frac{85}{6}c_{92} \\
& - \frac{71}{6}c_{93} - 3c_{94} + 15c_{95} .
\end{aligned}$$

The Gröbner basis GB_e of $\{g_1, \dots, g_{147}\}$ consists of 70 elements as expected. The whole Gröbner basis GB_e is stored in Appendix 9. The first element of sorted GB_e is

$$\begin{aligned}
& 446227638468y_{75} - 258371100400y_{76} + 2677414594200y_{77} - 2808720072600y_{78} \\
& + 483892450500y_{79} + 838357655220y_{80} - 871685530860y_{81} + 1892343009627y_{82} \\
& - 2525687071848y_{83} - 861370434243y_{84} - 625187443152y_{85} - 6093198421500y_{86} \\
& - 4546246681400y_{87} + 2813196475270y_{88} - 2152132471560y_{89} + 15133158761840y_{90} \\
& - 9561265966665y_{91} - 2198954966322y_{92} + 9680559087150y_{93} + 3770983597200y_{94} \\
& + 11367701561860y_{95},
\end{aligned}$$

and the last element of sorted GB_e is

$$\begin{aligned}
& 7228887743181600y_1 + 26505921724999200y_{47} - 8835307241666400y_{50} \\
& - 40863295992707100y_{51} - 23594575360435200y_{76} + 141145959892004100y_{77} \\
& - 152234378969531760y_{78} + 12641923750905900y_{79} + 103786265245653540y_{80} \\
& - 230406289763969880y_{81} + 55341457461003915y_{82} - 182139922299308040y_{83}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 331644059730112995y_{84} - 37012865309023290y_{85} - 467330302598009400y_{86} \\
& - 327107341696261500y_{87} + 182002246883284410y_{88} - 27682638636383280y_{89} \\
& + 811513254542111160y_{90} - 530692255768745745y_{91} - 216500557914020694y_{92} \\
& + 752677963524690150y_{93} - 117774780478277550y_{94} + 796136446567690060y_{95}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Gröbner basis GB_k corresponding to the kernel space defined by $\{f_1, \dots, f_{24}\}$ consists of 71 elements. The whole Gröbner basis GB_k is stored in Appendix 10 or on [9]. The first element of sorted GB_k is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2027141067600y_{76} + 6871115344500y_{77} - 8293793595120y_{78} + 1593871052400y_{79} \\
& + 3342315930030y_{80} + 2188718191440y_{81} + 6047944018587y_{82} \\
& - 7911486513648y_{83} + 1366183084077y_{84} - 1206881491512y_{85} \\
& - 10895090886900y_{86} - 9572836551300y_{87} + 1269138903120y_{88} \\
& + 3867959161440y_{89} + 28054435525860y_{90} - 23511502274085y_{91} \\
& - 7468180349703y_{92} + 2799062316375y_{93} + 17517045194250y_{94} \\
& + 24368226519980y_{95},
\end{aligned}$$

and the last element of sorted GB_k is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 368571103200y_1 + 1351427378400y_{47} - 450475792800y_{50} - 2083450541700y_{51} \\
& + 11274054788700y_{77} - 12683683914000y_{78} + 1590428838900y_{79} \\
& + 7275101984700y_{80} - 10448587809000y_{81} + 6410733790653y_{82} \\
& - 13981567014072y_{83} - 16098409761957y_{84} - 2603346695478y_{85} \\
& - 30292840571400y_{86} - 22358770705700y_{87} + 10032702042550y_{88} \\
& + 883984609200y_{89} + 58024375642760y_{90} - 41010508144455y_{91} \\
& - 15470397459450y_{92} + 40037017987050y_{93} + 4390494333150y_{94} \\
& + 5505282595540y_{95}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Gröbner basis corresponding to $H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
h = & 2027141067600y_{76} + 6871115344500y_{77} - 8293793595120y_{78} \\
& + 1593871052400y_{79} + 3342315930030y_{80} + 2188718191440y_{81} \\
& + 6047944018587y_{82} - 7911486513648y_{83} + 1366183084077y_{84} \\
& - 1206881491512y_{85} - 10895090886900y_{86} - 9572836551300y_{87} \\
& + 1269138903120y_{88} + 3867959161440y_{89} + 28054435525860y_{90} \\
& - 23511502274085y_{91} - 7468180349703y_{92} + 2799062316375y_{93} \\
& + 17517045194250y_{94} + 24368226519980y_{95}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Remark 4.2. So far, all the results above, using Maple software, are also calculated by Risa/Asir; the outputs are found either in Appendix 12 and 13 or on [9] by the following title: Results by Risa/Asir for wt=16 type1 $C^{\{6\}} \rightarrow C^{\{7\}} \rightarrow C^{\{8\}}$.

We have the generator of $H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ by two methods. One is h above by Maple. We can see that the generator derived by Risa/Asir is $-h$; namely, the negative sign is the only difference.

4.3 Gröbner basis of $d(\mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14})$

Next, we only need information about $d : \mathfrak{C}^8 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^9$; namely, the bases of $\mathfrak{C}^8$, $\mathfrak{C}^9$ and the matrix representation $\overline{M}$ of $d : \mathfrak{C}^8 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^9$. These are found either in Appendix 6, 7 and 8 or on [9]. Below we only show one of them: One of the 232 elements of our basis of $\mathfrak{C}^8$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbf{q}}_{231} = & -\frac{1}{2}z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_6^6 + z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^0z_4^1z_4^3z_5^5 - \frac{1}{2}z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^0z_4^1z_4^4z_6^4 \\ & - \frac{3}{2}z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^0z_4^2z_4^3z_6^4 + z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^0z_4^2z_4^4z_6^3 - \frac{1}{2}z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^0z_4^3z_4^4z_6^2 \\ & + 2z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_6^3 - \frac{3}{2}z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^1z_4^2z_4^4z_6^2 + z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^1z_4^3z_4^4z_6^1 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}z_3^0z_3^1z_3^2z_3^3z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_6^0 \end{aligned}$$

and, one of the 113 elements of our basis of $\mathfrak{C}^9$ is:

$$\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{95} = -\frac{1}{5}z_1^0z_1^1z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^0z_5^5 + z_1^0z_1^1z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^1z_5^4 - 2z_1^0z_1^1z_4^0z_4^1z_4^2z_4^3z_4^4z_5^2z_5^3.$$

The matrix $\overline{M}$ of $d : \mathfrak{C}^8 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^9$ is of size (113,232) and the linear functions $\{\overline{g}_i\}$ corresponding to $d : \mathfrak{C}^8 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^9$ are given by

$$[\overline{g}_1, \dots, \overline{g}_{232}] = [y_1, \dots, y_{113}]\overline{M}.$$

We will continue the same discussion as in the subsection §4.2. We see that $\text{rank} \overline{M} = 87$ and the Gröbner basis $\overline{GB}_e$ of $\{\overline{g}_i\}$, which corresponds to $d(\mathfrak{C}^8)$, consists of 87 elements as expected. The complete data of $\{\overline{g}_i\}$, in other words, that of $\overline{M}$, and the detail of $\overline{GB}_e$ are found either in Appendix 8 and 11 or on [9].

4.4 $\omega \wedge h$ is not in $d(\mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14})$

We have the linear function h of $(y_1, \dots, y_{95})$ in (7); we know that the cochain $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})$ is a non-exact kernel element in $\mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$. We analyze the next element

$$\omega \wedge h(\mathbf{w}) = z_1^0 \wedge z_1^1 \wedge h(\mathbf{w})$$

by the basis of $\mathfrak{C}^9$, and we have a linear function $\overline{h}$ of $(y_1, \dots, y_{113})$ satisfying

$$\overline{h}(\overline{\mathbf{w}}) = \omega \wedge h(\mathbf{w}) = z_1^0 \wedge z_1^1 \wedge h(\mathbf{w})$$

which is given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{h} = & -6996191251500y_{74} - 1557312364575y_{76} + 2027141067600y_{77} \\ & + 6871115344500y_{78} - 8293793595120y_{79} + 1593871052400y_{80} \\ & + 3342315930030y_{81} + 3576568317699y_{82} - 1206881491512y_{83} \\ & - 3952406350359y_{84} - 21353158325775y_{85} - 21096249215580y_{86} \\ & - 9572836551300y_{87} + 3867959161440y_{88} + 10699190322480y_{89} \\ & - 23511502274085y_{90} + 2799062316375y_{91} + 17460883387175y_{92} \\ & + 17517045194250y_{93} - 43245161055925y_{94} - 121841132599900y_{95}. \end{aligned}$$

The normal form of $\overline{h}$ with respect to $\overline{GB}_e$ is

$$-\frac{1}{1191}(7443523237284708y_{82} + 10932577142466y_{83} - 2773751000717088y_{84}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 8746061713972800y_{85} - 93098703351771180y_{90} \\
& + 40450535427124200y_{91} - 30933987324063320y_{92} \\
& + 24871612999110150y_{93} + 54636855766752700y_{94} \\
& + 201445748822724700y_{95} + 1180249792365936600y_{112} \\
& + 3540749377097809800y_{113})
\end{aligned}$$

and is not zero, namely $\bar{h}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}) = \omega \wedge h(\mathbf{w}) = z_1^0 \wedge z_1^1 \wedge h(\mathbf{w})$ is not exact, and our proof is complete. $\blacksquare$

Remark 4.3. Throughout this paper, the Gröbner basis and the normal form are computed by Maple. On the other hand, the results by Risa/Asir are found either in Appendix 12 and 13 or on [9].

We denote by B_{maple} the normal form of $\bar{h}$ with respect to $\overline{GB}_e$ and by A_{asir} , the normal form calculated by Risa/Asir. The two are related as

$$B_{maple} = -\frac{1}{1191} \cdot \frac{7443523237284708}{5337006161133135636} A_{asir}.$$

Acknowledgments The author expresses his sincere thanks to Professor Tadayoshi Mizutani for his encouragement and discussion between himself and the author.

References

- [1] M. Aghashi, B. M.-Alizadeh, J. Merker, and M. Sabzevari. A Gröbner-Bases algorithm for the computation of the cohomology of Lie (super) algebras. *arXiv:1104.5300v1*, April 2011.
- [2] R. Bott, and A. Haefliger. On characteristic classes of Γ -foliations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 78, 1039–1044, 1972.
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O’Shea. *Using Algebraic Geometry*. Springer Verlag, 1998.
- [4] I. M. Gel’fand, D. I. Kalinin, and D. B. Fuks. The cohomology of the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian formal vector fields. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 6(3):25–29, 1972.
- [5] M. Kontsevich. Rozansky-Witten invariants via formal geometry, *Composito Math.*, 115: 115–127, 1999.
- [6] D. Kotschick and S. Morita. The Gel’fand-Kalinin-Fuks class and characteristic classes of transversely symplectic foliations. *arXiv:0910.3414*, October 2009.
- [7] S. Metoki. *Non-trivial cohomology classes of Lie algebras of volume preserving formal vector fields*. PhD thesis, Univ. of Tokyo, 2000.
- [8] K. Mikami. An affirmative answer to a conjecture for Metoki class. submitted, July 2013.
- [9] K. Mikami. URL www.math.akita-u.ac.jp/~mikami/Conj4MetokiClass/, July 2013.
- [10] K. Mikami. Lower weight Gel’fand-Kalinin-Fuks cohomology groups of formal Hamiltonian vector fields on 6-dimensional plane. *arXiv:1402.6834* February 2014.
- [11] K. Mikami. Another proof to Kotschick-Morita’s Theorem of Kontsevich homomorphism. *arXiv:1407.1249* July 2014.
- [12] K. Mikami, and Y. Nakae. Lower weight Gel’fand-Kalinin-Fuks cohomology groups of the formal Hamiltonian vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^4$. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 19(1–18), 2012.
- [13] K. Mikami, Y. Nakae, and H. Kodama. Higher weight Gel’fand-Kalinin-Fuks classes of formal Hamiltonian vector fields of symplectic $\mathbb{R}^2$. *arXiv:1210.1662v2* (February 19, 2014).

In this paper, the dual basis of R -homogeneous polynomials of the Darboux coordinates x, y is denoted by z_R^r for $r = 0, 1, \dots, R$. In Maple, we use the notation $\mathbf{z}[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}]$ corresponding to z_R^r , and the wedge product is given by $\wedge (z[a, A], z[b, B], \dots)$ and you will see them in the corresponding ancillary files indicated in the following appendices.

Appendix 1 Basis of $C_{GF}^6(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/t1-16-6-basis.ttt** whose file size is 2,998,777byte.

Appendix 2 Basis of $C_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/t1-16-7-basis.ttt** whose file size is 1,561,456byte.

Appendix 3 Basis of $C_{GF}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/t1-16-8-basis.ttt** whose file size is 138,153byte.

Appendix 4 Matrix representation of d_0 of $C_{GF}^6(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ to $C_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/Mat_rep_t1-w16-6and7.ttt** whose file size is 69,024byte.

Appendix 5 Dual representation of d_0 of $C_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ to $C_{GF}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/Dual_rep_t1-w16-7A8.ttt** whose file size is 13,686byte.

Appendix 6 Basis of $C_{GF}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/t0-14-8-basis.ttt** whose file size is 5,127,961byte.

Appendix 7 Basis of $C_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/t0-14-9-basis.ttt** whose file size is 1,993,702byte.

Appendix 8 Matrix representation of d of $C_{GF}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$ to $C_{GF}^9(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/Mat_rep_t0-w14-8A9.ttt** whose file size is 98,530byte.

Appendix 9 Gröbner Basis of $d_0(C_{GF}^6(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16})$

Please refer to the ancillary file **anc/GB_e_type1_16-6and7.ttt** whose file size is 37,189byte.

Appendix 10 Gröbner Basis of $\ker d_0 : \mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$

Please refer to the ancillary file `anc/GB_k_type1_16_7and8.ttt` whose file size is 33,444byte.

Appendix 11 Gröbner Basis of $d(\mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14})$

Please refer to the ancillary file `anc/t1-16-8-basis.ttt` whose file size is 138,153byte.

Appendix 12 Gröbner Basis of d_0 for weight 16 by Risa/Asir

In this section, we make use of Risa/Asir, which is another Symbol Calculus Software, and show the results we got by Maple and Risa/Asir are the same up to non-zero scalar multiples.

We remark that we added some line breaks so that we get better look.

Basis of $d_0(\mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^6(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$:

Our source file for Risa/Asir is this:

```
/* On C^{6}_{wt=16} -> C^{7}_{wt=16}
output("exact_t1_wt16_6and7.txt")$
*/
YList = base_var_list(y, 1, 95) $
load("Mat_16_6and7_type1.rr")$
GList = [G1, G2, G3, G4, G5, G6, G7, G8, G9, G10, G11, G12, G13, G14, G15,
G16, G17, G18, G19, G20, G21, G22, G23, G24, G25, G26, G27, G28, G29, G30,
G31, G32, G33, G34, G35, G36, G37, G38, G39, G40, G41, G42, G43, G44, G45,
G46, G47, G48, G49, G50, G51, G52, G53, G54, G55, G56, G57, G58, G59, G60,
G61, G62, G63, G64, G65, G66, G67, G68, G69, G70, G71, G72, G73, G74, G75,
G76, G77, G78, G79, G80, G81, G82, G83, G84, G85, G86, G87, G88, G89, G90,
G91, G92, G93, G94, G95, G96, G97, G98, G99, G100, G101, G102, G103, G104,
G105, G106, G107, G108, G109, G110, G111, G112, G113, G114, G115, G116, G117,
G118, G119, G120, G121, G122, G123, G124, G125, G126, G127, G128, G129, G130,
G131, G132, G133, G134, G135, G136, G137, G138, G139, G140, G141, G142, G143,
G144, G145, G146, G147]$
ord( YList ) $          GB1 = nd_gr(GList, YList ,0,0) $
GB1 = reverse(GB1);      print(["GB",GB1])$      output()$
end$
```

A part of the output of Groebner Basis is:

```
GB= [-446227638468*y75+258371100400*y76-2677414594200*y77+2808720072600*y78
-483892450500*y79-838357655220*y80+871685530860*y81-1892343009627*y82+
2525687071848*y83+861370434243*y84+625187443152*y85+6093198421500*y86+
4546246681400*y87-2813196475270*y88+2152132471560*y89-15133158761840*y90+
9561265966665*y91+2198954966322*y92-9680559087150*y93-3770983597200*y94
-11367701561860*y95,
2231138192340*y74-3236925592800*y76+25986517801200*y77-19167959235840*y78
+7589254454700*y79+12083813535660*y80-9614968130520*y81+19627910409861*y82
-29219550942744*y83-2582960358429*y84-3902066256336*y85-48010669486950*y86
```

```

-34187627245100*y87+10980485213590*y88+6293554661580*y89+123735218701880*y90
-76475315472495*y91-27626805021798*y92+56696019952650*y93+53106035062800*y94
+101136816168220*y95,
68 more terms (sorry for skipping the rest and leaving heavy job to you)
]$

```

Kernel space of $d_0 : \mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\text{GF}}^8(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$:

Our source file for Risa/Asir is this:

```

/*
output("kerne_t1_wt16_7and8.txt")$
*/
WList = base_var_list( w, 1, 24) $
CList = base_var_list( c, 1, 95) $
YList = base_var_list( y, 1, 95) $
/* ### On C^{7} -> C^{8} ### */
load("Mat_16_7and8_type1.rr")$
FList = [ F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, F7, F8, F9, F10, F11, F12, F13, F14, F15,
F16, F17, F18, F19, F20, F21, F22, F23, F24, F25, F26, F27, F28, F29, F30,
F31, F32, F33, F34, F35, F36, F37, F38, F39, F40, F41, F42, F43, F44, F45,
F46, F47, F48, F49, F50, F51, F52, F53, F54, F55, F56, F57, F58, F59, F60,
F61, F62, F63, F64, F65, F66, F67, F68, F69, F70, F71, F72, F73, F74, F75,
F76, F77, F78, F79, F80, F81, F82, F83, F84, F85, F86, F87, F88, F89, F90,
F91, F92, F93, F94, F95]$
/* ##### */
NagasaW = length(WList)$ NagasaF = length(FList)$
for ( Uke = [], J=1; J <= NagasaW; J++ ) { MyA = WList[J-1]; Atai = 0;
  for (K=1 ; K <= NagasaF; K++ ){ MyB = FList[K-1];
    Atai += diff( MyB, MyA)* CList[K-1];}
  Uke = cons(Atai, Uke ); }
print("mark A: Got dual map TF of F")$ Uke = reverse( Uke );

print("mark B: Got Image(TR)")$ GBadj = nd_gr( Uke, CList,0, 0);

for (H=0, I=1; I <= NagasaF; I++){ H += CList[I-1]* YList[I-1]; }
Hnf = p_nf(H, GBadj, CList, 0)$
for( MyUkez = [], T=CList; T != []; T = cdr(T)){
  MyA = car(T); MyV = diff( Hnf, MyA);
  MyUkez = cons( MyV, MyUkez);}

print("mark C: Annihilators of Image(TF)")$
MyUkez = reverse(MyUkez);

print("mark D: Got Ker(F)")$ GBker = nd_gr( MyUkez, YList,0, 0);
output()$ end$

```

The outputs are the follows:

```

GBk=[368571103200*y1+1351427378400*y47-450475792800*y50-2083450541700*y51

```

```
+11274054788700*y77-12683683914000*y78+1590428838900*y79+7275101984700*y80
-10448587809000*y81+6410733790653*y82-13981567014072*y83-16098409761957*y84
-2603346695478*y85-30292840571400*y86-22358770705700*y87+10032702042550*y88
+883984609200*y89+58024375642760*y90-41010508144455*y91-15470397459450*y92
+40037017987050*y93+4390494333150*y94+55052825955540*y95,
```

```
22987779706584*y2-31015258334280*y57+65679370590240*y58-2333788839033300*y77
+2847547488345120*y78-708876435791700*y79-1175639802428520*y80
-517877711109000*y81-1971062567955153*y82+2643976210072392*y83
-367831348898103*y84+382136247747228*y85+3663823436752050*y86
+2800692629390700*y87-463769141240790*y88-888541532645580*y89
-9149932266616200*y90+7727929995111435*y91+2481073046817666*y92
-1146891126002550*y93-5041594682543400*y94-8618277247810580*y95,
```

69 terms more

]\$

Basis of $H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$

The next is a source file for Risa/Asir. GBe and GBk are data gotten above.

```
/*
output("Betti_t1_wt16_7and7.txt")$
*/

load("exact_t1_wt16_6and7.txt")$
load("kerne_t1_wt16_7and8.txt")$
YList = base_var_list(y,1, 95) $
for(Uke=[], T= GBk; T != []; T = cdr(T)) {
    MyA = car(T); print(MyA); Atai = p_nf( MyA, GBe, YList , 0) ;
    Uke = cons(Atai, Uke);
} $
Uke = reverse(Uke)$      ord( YList )$
GBb = nd_gr( Uke, YList , 0,0);
```

A basis of $H_{GF}^7(\mathfrak{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ is given

```
GBkmode = [-2027141067600*y76-6871115344500*y77+8293793595120*y78
-1593871052400*y79-3342315930030*y80-2188718191440*y81-6047944018587*y82
+7911486513648*y83-1366183084077*y84+1206881491512*y85+10895090886900*y86
+9572836551300*y87-1269138903120*y88-3867959161440*y89-28054435525860*y90
+23511502274085*y91+7468180349703*y92-2799062316375*y93-17517045194250*y94
-24368226519980*y95]
```

Appendix 13 Gröbner Basis of d for weight 14 by Risa/Asir

In this section, we make use of Risa/Asir, which is another Symbol Calculus Software, and show the results we got by Maple and Risa/Asir are the same up to non-zero scalar multiples.

We remark that we added some line breaks so that we get better look.

Our source file for Risa/Asir is this:

```

/* On C^{8} -> C^{9}
output("exact_t0_wt14_8and9.txt")$ */
YList = base_var_list(y, 1, 113)$
load("Mat_14_8and9_type0.rr")$
FList = [F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, F7, F8, F9, F10, F11, F12, F13, F14, F15, F16,
F17, F18, F19, F20, F21, F22, F23, F24, F25, F26, F27, F28, F29, F30, F31, F32,
F33, F34, F35, F36, F37, F38, F39, F40, F41, F42, F43, F44, F45, F46, F47, F48,
F49, F50, F51, F52, F53, F54, F55, F56, F57, F58, F59, F60, F61, F62, F63, F64,
F65, F66, F67, F68, F69, F70, F71, F72, F73, F74, F75, F76, F77, F78, F79, F80,
F81, F82, F83, F84, F85, F86, F87, F88, F89, F90, F91, F92, F93, F94, F95, F96,
F97, F98, F99, F100, F101, F102, F103, F104, F105, F106, F107, F108, F109, F110,
F111, F112, F113, F114, F115, F116, F117, F118, F119, F120, F121, F122, F123,
F124, F125, F126, F127, F128, F129, F130, F131, F132, F133, F134, F135, F136,
F137, F138, F139, F140, F141, F142, F143, F144, F145, F146, F147, F148, F149,
F150, F151, F152, F153, F154, F155, F156, F157, F158, F159, F160, F161, F162,
F163, F164, F165, F166, F167, F168, F169, F170, F171, F172, F173, F174, F175,
F176, F177, F178, F179, F180, F181, F182, F183, F184, F185, F186, F187, F188,
F189, F190, F191, F192, F193, F194, F195, F196, F197, F198, F199, F200, F201,
F202, F203, F204, F205, F206, F207, F208, F209, F210, F211, F212, F213, F214,
F215, F216, F217, F218, F219, F220, F221, F222, F223, F224, F225, F226, F227,
F228, F229, F230, F231, F232]$

ord( YList ) $      GB1 = nd_gr(FList, YList ,0,0) $
GB1 = reverse(GB1); print(["GB1",GB1])$
output()$           end$

```

A part of the output of Groebner Basis is:

```

GB1 = [5*y103-2*y104+y105+5*y106+y107-8*y108+4*y109-y111-14*y112-420*y113,
15*y96+10*y97-10*y99-10*y100-y104-2*y105-10*y106-2*y107+16*y108-8*y109
+2*y111+28*y112+1540*y113,
20*y89+24*y90-24*y91+24*y92-30*y93-8*y94-80*y95-15*y105+18*y107-18*y108+24*y109
-60*y110-224*y112,
84 more terms
]$

```

Morita conjecture for Kontsevich map $\omega \wedge : H_{GF}^7(\text{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16} \rightarrow H_{GF}^9(\text{ham}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{14}$:

We have h in $H_{GF}^7(\text{ham}_2^0, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}))_{16}$ and $\bar{h} = \omega \wedge h$ is given by

```

/* This is a data of \omega \wedge h(w) in C^9_{wt=14} */
Hbar = -6996191251500*y74-1557312364575*y76+2027141067600*y77+6871115344500*y78
-8293793595120*y79+1593871052400*y80+3342315930030*y81+3576568317699*y82
-1206881491512*y83-3952406350359*y84-21353158325775*y85-21096249215580*y86
-9572836551300*y87+3867959161440*y88+10699190322480*y89-23511502274085*y90
+2799062316375*y91+17460883387175*y92+17517045194250*y93-43245161055925*y94
-121841132599900*y95$

```

Our source file for Risa/Asir is this:

```

/*
Final stage of checking hbar is in GBe or not at C^{9}_{wt=14}.
*/

output("kekka_t0_wt14_9and9.txt")$
load("exact_t0_wt14_8and9.txt")$ /* GBe */
YList = base_var_list(y, 1, 113)$

Hbar = -6996191251500*y74-1557312364575*y76+2027141067600*y77
+6871115344500*y78-8293793595120*y79+1593871052400*y80+3342315930030*y81
+3576568317699*y82-1206881491512*y83-3952406350359*y84-21353158325775*y85
-21096249215580*y86-9572836551300*y87+3867959161440*y88+10699190322480*y89
-23511502274085*y90+2799062316375*y91+17460883387175*y92+17517045194250*y93
-43245161055925*y94-121841132599900*y95$

ord(YList)$      Atai = p_nf(Hbar, GBe, YList, 0) ;
output()$        end$

```

The output is:

```

5337006161133135636*y82+7838657811148122*y83-1988779467514152096*y84
-6270926248918497600*y85-66751770303219936060*y90+29003033901248051400*y91
-22179668911353400440*y92+17832946520361977550*y93+39174625584761685900*y94
+144436601905893609900*y95+846239101126376542200*y112+2538717303379129626600*y113

```

Remark: The output just above, we denote by A_{asir} . We denote by B_{maple} the output in the preprint *An affirmative answer to a conjecture for Metoki class* by K. Mikami.

You will verify that

$$B_{maple} = -\frac{1}{1191} \cdot \frac{7443523237284708}{5337006161133135636} A_{asir}$$

Kentaro MIKAMI
Akita University
1-1 Tegata Akita City, Japan
mikami@math.akita-u.ac.jp